



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/586,868	06/05/2000	Gordon Caruk	0100.0000430	7484
23418 . 7590 . 05/25/2005		EXAMINER		
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ 222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601			KING, JUSTIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2111		
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Office Action Summary Office Action Summary Office Action Summary District (Ing) Di			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Justin L King 2111	Office Action Summary		09/586,868	CARUK ET AL.			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estatesizes of tem may be available under the provision of 30° CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely fitted between the many of the provision of 30° CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely fitted state to the provision of the provision of 10° CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely fitted state to the provision of the provision o			Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed between the provision of 17 CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed between the provision of the provision of 18 CFR 1.75(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed between the provision of the priority documents have been re			Justin I. King	2111			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Letarelosic a time may be wisublable under the provision of 3° CFR 1.35(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed Letarelosic active may be precified above is less than filing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of biting (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than filing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of biting (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply specified above is less than filing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of biting (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply specified above is less than filing (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of biting (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply specified above is less than filing (30) days are ply will, as a reply will be a reply be the second of the reply be a reply will be a reply be the second of the reply be a reply will be a reply be the second of the reply will be a							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 April 2005</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <u>1.3-6 and 8-45</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) <u>1.3-6,8-20,40.44 and 45</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>21-39 and 41-43</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX.(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8-20.40.44 and 45 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-39 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 4 application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.							
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1.3-6 8-20.40.44 and 45 is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b diapproved by the Examiner. If approved, conected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 8-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.3-6.8-20.40.44 and 45 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-39 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	<i>′</i> =	,—		•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-20,40,44 and 45 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 end/or 121. Attachment(e) 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1,3-6.8-20,40,44 and 45 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
5) Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-20,40,44 and 45 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-39 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
6) Claim(s) 21-39 and 41-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· _		: alaction requirement				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		election requirement.	•			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)□ Т	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exan	niner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						

Part of Paper No. 2005

Art Unit: 2111

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 21-23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Melo et al. (U.S. Patent No 6,040,845).

Referring to claim 21: Melo discloses an internal circuit (figure 1, structure 12) receiving a bus bridge signal from a internal bus bridge (figure 1, structure 14), and an internal circuit (figure 1, structure 28) arbitrating and controlling the signals from any external circuit (figure 1, structures 32a and 302b) from reaching the internal circuit; thus, Melo's internal I/O circuit prevents signals from any external circuit from reaching the internal circuit. Hence, claim is anticipated by Melo.

Referring to claim 22: Melo discloses that the external circuit (figure 2, structure 46) receives the bus bridge signal from the internal bus bridge; and the external circuit reflects the bus bridge signal to the internal I/O circuit (figure 2, structure 40).

Referring to claim 23: Melo discloses that the bus bridge has to arbitrate between the graphic signals and the peripheral master signals; thus, the signals been arbitrated and selected is

the internal circuit signal, and therefore the bus receives an internal circuit signal from the internal circuit and selects one of the internal circuit signal and the external circuit. Melo further discloses that the internal I/O circuit receives an external circuit signal from the external circuit (AGP), and the bus bridge also receives the external circuit signal once the arbiter receives and selects the signals.

Referring to claim 25: Melo's internal circuit does not disclose input buffer.

Referring to claim 26: Melo discloses the PCI bus/protocol.

Referring to claim 27: Melo discloses the AGP bus/protocol.

3. Claims 21-23, 25-27, 29-33, and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brickford et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,141,021).

Referring to claim 21: Brickford discloses an internal circuit (figure 1, structure 118) receiving a bus bridge signal from an internal bus bridge (figure 3, combined structures of 114, 124, and 110), and an internal I/O circuit (figure 1, structure 124) arbitrating and controlling the signals from any external circuit (figure 1, structures 120/122) from reaching the internal circuit; thus, Brickford's internal I/O circuit preventing signals from any external circuit from reaching the internal circuit. Hence, claim is anticipated by Brickford.

Referring to claim 22: Brickford discloses that the external circuit (figure 3, structures 120/122) receives the bus bridge signal from the internal bus bridge (figure 3, structure 114); and the external circuit reflects the bus bridge signal to the internal I/O circuit (figure 3, structure 124).

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claim 23: Brickford discloses that the bus bridge receiving an internal circuit signal from the internal circuit and an external circuit signal, and selecting one of the internal circuit signal and the external circuit signal; the internal I/O circuit receiving an external circuit signal from the external circuit (figure 3).

Referring to claim 25: Claim 25 is rejected over Brickford as stated above; furthermore, Brickford does not disclose any input buffer.

Referring to claim 26: Brickford discloses a PCI bus protocol (figures 1 and 2).

Referring to claim 27: Brickford discloses an AGP bus protocol (figure 3).

Referring to claim 29: Brickford discloses a computer system including a processing unit coupled to a processor bus, a memory unit coupled to a memory bus (figures 1 and 2), an integrated bus bridge graphics unit (figure 3, combined structures of 114, 124, and 110), coupled to the memory bus and further operably coupled to provide a signal to an external graphic bus (figure 3, the path between structure 122 and the combined structures of 114, 124, and 110), and an internal circuit (figure 3, structure 118) operably configured to avoid signals from the external graphics bus.

Referring to claim 30: Brickford discloses that the integrated bus bridge graphics unit is further operably coupled to receive a signal from the external graphics bus via an internal I/O circuit (figure 3, structure 124).

Referring to claims 31-33: Brickford's internal I/O circuit is to select the graphic signal communication from either one of the internal circuit or external circuit. Thus, Brickford discloses that the integrated bus bridge unit is further configurable to select and to provide a

Art Unit: 2111

signal to one of the internal circuit and the external graphics bus, and is further operably configured to isolate the internal circuit from an external graphic bus signal (figure 3).

Referring to claim 35: Brickford does not disclose any input buffer.

Referring to claim 36: It is the communication's purpose to provide signals uncorrupted by transmission line effects.

Referring to claim 37: Brickford discloses a PCI bus protocol (figures 1 and 2).

Referring to claim 38: Brickford discloses an AGP bus protocol (figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 24, 34, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brickford in view of Kubota or Chen.

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claim 24: Claim 24 is rejected over Brickford as stated above; furthermore, although Brickford does not explicitly disclose multiplexing as the selecting mean, the multiplexing is a well-known selecting practice in the computer art, and Applicant didn't challenge the well-known knowledge of the multiplexer.

Referring to claim 34: Brickford discloses that the integrated bus bridge isolates the external signal from the internal circuit (figure 1), but Brickford does not explicitly disclose an input buffer for receiving the external signal. Kubota discloses a circuit design with a buffer controlled by a selector (figure 4A). Chen discloses that it is known to equip the input buffer in the bridge (figures 1-2). Chen discloses that it is known to equip the input buffer to reduce the RETRY signal (columns 1-2). Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time Applicant made the invention to adapt Kubota's teaching and the input buffer onto Brickford because Kubota teaches one to enhance the bridge's functionality and performance by I/O buffers, and to adapt Chen's bridge input buffer onto Brickford because it can reduce the number of RETRY control signals, which will reduce the number of the arbitration attempts.

Referring to claim 41: Brickford discloses a first internal circuit (figure 3, structure 118) operable to provide a first internal signal via a first internal signal path (figure 3, path between the structure 118 and the combined structures of 124, 110, and 114), receiving a first external signal via a first external signal path (figure 3, path between the structure 122 and the combined structures of 124, 110, and 114), a selector circuit (figure 3, structure 124) coupled to the first internal circuit via the first internal path, and to select either the first internal signal or the first external signal. Since Brickford's selector circuit selects signals from either structure 118 or

Art Unit: 2111

120/122, Brickford discloses isolating the first internal signal on the first internal signal path from the first external signal.

Brickford does not explicitly disclose an input buffer, but the I/O buffer is a well-known practice in the computer art; furthermore, Kubota discloses a circuit design with a buffer controlled by a selector (figure 4A). Chen discloses that it is known to equip the input buffer in the bridge (figures 1-2). Chen discloses that it is known to equip the input buffer to reduce the RETRY signal (columns 1-2). Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time Applicant made the invention to adapt Kubota's teaching and the input buffer onto Brickford because Kubota teaches one to enhance the bridge's functionality and performance by I/O buffers, and to adapt Chen's bridge input buffer onto Brickford because it can reduce the number of RETRY control signals, which will reduce the number of the arbitration attempts.

Referring to claim 42: Brickford discloses a first external circuit (figure 3, structure 122) receives the first external signal via the first external path (figure 3, path between the structures 120 and 110). Since Brickford's selector circuit selects signals from either structure 118 or 120/122, Brickford discloses preventing the first internal signal on the first internal signal path from propagating to the first external signal path when the selector circuit stops the external circuit.

Referring to claim 43: Brckford discloses an AGP card connector (figure 3, structure 120), which is the claimed vacant expansion slot.

Art Unit: 2111

7. Claims 28 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brickford in view of Applicant's admitted prior art the NGP protocol or Colton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,529,840): Brickford does not disclose the NGP protocol. Both Applicant's disclosed prior art and Colton disclose that the NGP is a well-known industrial practice as an alternative to AGP and PCI at the time applicant made the invention. Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the computer art at the time Applicant made the invention to adapt NGP onto Brickford because NGP is a known alternative to the AGP and PCI in designing the computer structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-20, and 40, 44-45 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Referring to claim 1: A configurable AGP interface circuit as structurally illustrated in figures 4 and 5 is structured to include a dedicated output buffer for outputting the internal signal to the add-in AGP card and this internal output signal does not go through the select circuit. The circuit is constructed in the structuring arrangement as the followings; a first internal circuit operable to provide a first internal signal via a first internal signal path; an input buffer operable to receive a first external signal via an first external signal path; and a selector circuit coupled to the first internal circuit via the first internal signal path, and to the input buffer, the selector circuit operable to select either the first internal signal or the first external signal to provide a selected signal; and an output buffer operative to receive a second internal signal via a second internal signal path and to provide the second internal signal via the first external signal path; and

Art Unit: 2111

a second internal circuit operable to provide the second internal signal via the second internal signal path and to receive the selected signal via a third internal signal path, the selector circuit inoperable to receive the second internal signal; and the second internal circuit is operable to provide the second internal signal via the second internal signal path to both the first internal circuit and the output buffer.

Referring to claims 3-6 and 8-20: Claims are allowed because they incorporate the allowable subject matter from claim 1.

Referring to claims 40 and 44: A configurable AGP interface circuit as structurally illustrated in figures 4 and 5 is structured to include a dedicated output buffer for outputting the internal signal to the add-in AGP card and this internal output signal does not go through the select circuit. The circuit is constructed in the structuring arrangement as the followings; an internal graphics controller operable to provide a first internal signal via a first internal signal path; an input buffer operable to receive a first external signal via an first external signal path; a selector circuit coupled to the internal graphics controller via the first internal signal or the first external signal to provide a selected signal; a bus bridge comprising a bus interface operable to provide a second internal signal to the internal graphics controller via a second internal signal path and to receive the selected signal via a third internal signal path; and an output buffer operative to receive the second internal signal via the second internal signal path and to provide the second internal signal via the first external signal path such that the input buffer and the selector circuit are inoperable to receive the second internal signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/586,868 Page 10

Art Unit: 2111

Referring to claim 45: Claim is allowable because it incorporates claim 44's allowable subject matter.

Response to Arguments

10. In response to Applicant's argument that the prior art Melo fails to discloses the internal

circuit, a bus bridge signal, and an internal bus bridge (Remark, page 9, 3rd paragraph). Melo

discloses an internal circuit (figure 1, structure 12) receiving a bus bridge signal from a internal

bus bridge (figure 1, structure 14), and an internal circuit (figure 1, structure 28) arbitrating and

controlling the signals from any external circuit (figure 1, structures 32a and 302b) from

reaching the internal circuit; thus, Melo's internal I/O circuit preventing signals from any

external circuit from reaching the internal circuit.

11. In response to Applicant's argument that the claimed limitation "internal" is "on-chip" as

illustrated in the disclosed Specification (Remark, page 9, last 3 lines, page 11, last 3 lines):

While the disclosed Specification may have provided the embodiment of the "on-chip", the

claimed limitation is not limited to "on-chip". Thus, if Applicant intends to construe the

limitations to "on-chip" only, Applicant should amend the limitations accordingly.

12. In response to Applicant's argument that since both north bridge and south bridge are

external to the processor, the processor necessarily fails to "receive a bus bridge signal from an

internal bus bridge" (Remark, page 10, 2nd paragraph, lines 4-6): This argument is established on

the basis that the claimed limitation "internal" is "on-chip". Again, if Applicant intends to

construe the limitations to "on-chip" only, Applicant should amend the limitations accordingly.

Art Unit: 2111

13. In response to Applicant's argument that Brickford explicitly teaches an opposite approach, a disable device disables either the AGP 118 or 122, and Brickford does not teach the "preventing signals from any external circuit from reaching the internal circuit" (Remark, page 11, 1st paragraph): Examiner disagrees that Brickford's teaching is an opposite approach. As Applicant correctly states, Brickford's disable device disables one of the external devices, which in fact is preventing signals from reaching the internal circuit. While Applicant's argument may have directed towards blocking the singles while the signals are continuously active (subjected to

Page 11

14. In response to Applicant's argument that Brickford's disable device is a jumper, not an "internal I/O circuit" (Remark, page 12, lines 1-3): This argument is established on the basis that the claimed limitation "internal" is "on-chip". Again, if Applicant intends to construe the limitations to "on-chip" only, Applicant should amend the limitations accordingly.

the disclosure as originally presented), the claimed limitations does not preclude to implement

the preventing means by disabling one of the devices.

15. In response to Applicant's argument that Brickford does not discloses "isolating the first internal signal on the first internal signal path from the first external signal" (Remark, page 13, 3rd paragraph, lines 1-3): Brickford's disable device selects signals from either structure 118 or 120/122. Brickford discloses isolating the first internal signal on the first internal signal path from the first external signal when the disable device disables structure 120/122.

Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin I. King whose telephone number is 571-272-3628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571-272-3632 or on the central telephone number, (571) 272-2100. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will cease to be mailed to applicants with Office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of foreign patents and non-patent literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests

Art Unit: 2111

to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. patent or patent application publications will not be granted.

Justin King May 16, 2005 Khanh Dang Primary Examiner